

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/662,454	YANAGI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Maria B. Marvich, PhD	1633	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) Maria B. Marvich, PhD.

(3) _____.

 (2) Nancy Vensko.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 January 2006
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Upon reconsideration at a patentability conference, claims 64-66 were found to comprise unenabled embodiments. The claims read on prevention and treatment of hepatitis C virus infection. Applicant was contacted but no amendments to the claims could be agreed upon.